

On the problem of Frobenius in three numbers

Abdelwaheb Miled

Université de Sousse - ISSAT

Cité Ettaffala, Sousse 3000 - Tunisia

abdelwaheb.miled@issatso.rnu.tn

Abstract

For three positive integers a_i, a_j, a_k pairwise coprime, we present an algorithm that find the least multiple of a_i that is a positive linear combination of a_j, a_k . The average running time of this algorithm is $O(1)$. Using this algorithm and the chinese remainder theorem leads to a direct computation of the Frobenius number $f(a_1, a_2, a_3)$.

1 Introduction

We begin with some facts and notation [2, 5]. Let $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ be relatively prime positive integers. It is well known that if n is sufficiently large integer the Diophantine equation

$$x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + x_3 a_3 = n \quad (1)$$

has solutions in positives integers x_1, x_2, x_3 and we say in this case that n is $\mathbb{N}$ -representable by a_1, a_2, a_3 . Let $f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be the largest integer not representable by the form (1) in positive integers.

There are representation of $f(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3$ of the form [5]:

$$f(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \sum_{j \neq i}^3 y_{ij} a_j \quad y_{ij} > 0 \quad (2)$$

If a_1, a_2, a_3 are pairwise prime, we prove that in each representation above, there is only one term $y_{ij} a_j$ that is $\mathbb{N}$ -representable by a_i, a_k .

We give a simple algorithm that find the least multiple of a_i that is representable by a_j, a_k . This method is very simple (few lines of code) and similar to euclidean algorithm. It compute $f(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ for large number, we test it for numbers having thousand digits and the average running time is $O(1)$ for pairwise prime triplet of unumbers.

There are other algorithms to compute $f(a_1, a_2, a_3)$. The Davison algorithm [3] is based on the LLL lattice method. The elbows algorithm is [4] is based on the integer linear programming (ILP) method.

In section 2, we give semi-explicit representation of $f(a_i, a_j, a_k)$ for pairwise coprime integers (a_i, a_j, a_k) . We present in Section 3 our algorithm for computing the least multiple $\mathbb{N}$ -representable and prove that it terminates.

Section 4 is devoted to randomized testing and average time needed for computation of $f(a_1, a_2, a_3)$

2 Representation of $f(a_i, a_j, a_k)$

For any ordering (i, j, k) of the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$, let (a_i, a_j, a_k) three integers relatively prime. It is no restriction to assume that (a_i, a_j, a_k) are pairwise coprime and none of (a_i, a_j, a_k) is $\mathbb{N}$ -representable by the two others [2].

Lemma 2.1. *Let*

$$f(a_i, a_j, a_k) = x_j a_j + x_k a_k, \quad x_j, x_k > 0 \quad (3)$$

and suppose that

$$x_j a_j = u_j a_i + v_j a_k, \quad u_j > 0, v_j > 0 \quad (4)$$

Then $x_j a_j$ is the smallest multiple of a_j that is $\mathbb{N}$ -representable by a_i, a_k

Proof. Suppose that $f(a_i, a_j, a_k)$ have another representation:

$$f(a_1, a_2, a_3) = y_j a_j + y_k a_k, \quad y_j > x_j, z_j > 0 \quad (5)$$

And

$$y_j a_j = s_j a_i + t_j a_k, \quad s_j, t_j > 0 \quad (6)$$

Combining the two expressions, we can represent $f(a_i, a_j, a_k)$ as:

$$f(a_i, a_j, a_k) = u_j a_j + (y_j - x_j) a_j + (v_j + t_k) a_k, u_j > 0, \quad (v_j + t_k) > 0, v_j + t_k > 0 \quad (7)$$

so $f(a_i, a_j, a_k)$ would be representable by a_1, a_2, a_3 , a contradiction to the definition of $f(a_i, a_j, a_k)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *For each i let $f(a_i, a_j, a_k) = y_{ij} a_j + y_{ik} a_k$ with (i, j, k) a permutation cycle of $(1, 2, 3)$. Then either $y_{ij} a_j$ is representable by (a_i, a_k) , or $y_{ik} a_k$ representable by (a_i, a_j)*

Proof. Reresent $f(a_i, a_j, a_k)$ as:

$$f(a_1, a_2, a_3) = y_{12} a_2 + y_{13} a_3 = y_{21} a_1 + y_{23} a_3 = y_{31} a_1 + y_{32} a_2, \quad y_{ij} > 0, i, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (8)$$

Consider the couple (y_{13}, y_{23}) , observe first that $y_{13} \neq y_{23}$, otherwise $y_{12} a_2 = y_{21} a_1$ a contradiction to a_1, a_2 relatively prime.

If $y_{13} < y_{23}$ then

$$y_{12} a_2 = y_{21} a_1 + (y_{23} - y_{13}) a_3, \quad y_{12} > 0, (y_{23} - y_{13}) > 0 \quad (9)$$

So $y_{12} a_2$ is representable by (a_1, a_3) . Alternatively the case $y_{13} > y_{23}$ lead to

$$y_{21} a_1 = y_{12} a_2 + (y_{13} - y_{23}) a_3, \quad y_{13} > 0, (y_{13} - y_{23}) > 0 \quad (10)$$

And then $y_{21} a_1$ is representable by a_2, a_3 .

We obtain the other results by considering the couples (y_{12}, y_{32}) and (y_{13}, y_{31}) $\square$

Consider now integers n that is not $\mathbb{N}$ –representable by a_1, a_2, a_3 but is $\mathbb{N}$ –representable by any couples (a_j, a_k) :

$$n = u_{12}a_2 + u_{12}a_2 = u_{21}a_1 + u_{23}a_3 = u_{31}a_1 + u_{32}a_2 \quad u_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}^* \quad (11)$$

In the first right expression above, suppose $u_{12}a_2$ $\mathbb{N}$ –representable by a_1, a_3 . Lemma 2.2, implies that the $\mathbb{N}$ –representable term for the third expressions must be $u_{31}a_1$, and consequently the term $\mathbb{N}$ –representable for the second expression $u_{21}a_1$. This give us a first decomposition of n . An other decomposition is given when we suppose $u_{13}a_3$ $\mathbb{N}$ –representable in the first right expression. Finally, such integers n have exactly two possible decompositions.

To compute $f(a, b, c)$ consider the two congruent systems:

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv u_{12}a_1 & \text{mod } a_2 \\ x \equiv u_{23}a_2 & \text{mod } a_3 \\ x \equiv u_{31}a_3 & \text{mod } a_1 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} x \equiv u_{21}a_2 & \text{mod } a_1 \\ x \equiv u_{13}a_1 & \text{mod } a_3 \\ x \equiv u_{32}a_3 & \text{mod } a_2 \end{cases}$$

Solutions of the two congruent systems are given by the chinese remainder theorem and $f(a, b, c)$ is maximum of the two solutions.

3 Least multiple of b $\mathbb{N}$ –representable by a, c

Algorithm (Find least multiple representable). We are given three integers a, b, c pairwise prime. This algorithm find the least multiple of b that is $\mathbb{N}$ –representable by (a, c) .

1. [Initialize]

$$p_0 = \frac{1}{a} \left(b + c \left(\frac{-b}{c} \text{ mod } a \right) \right)$$

$$b \equiv p_0 a \text{ mod } c$$

2. [Sequences chains]

Develop the integer sequences $(k_i), (p_i, (v_i))$, where

$$k_1 = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{c}{p_0} \right\rfloor, \quad k_i = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{p_{i-2}}{p_{i-1}} \right\rfloor, i \geq 2 \quad (12)$$

$$p_1 = k_1 p_0 \text{ mod } c, \quad p_i \equiv k_i p_{i-1} \text{ mod } p_{i-2}, i \geq 2 \quad (13)$$

$$v_i = p_i \left(\frac{1}{p_0} \text{ mod } c \right) \text{ mod } c, \quad i \geq 1 \quad (14)$$

3. [Main loop]

```
While( $p_i a > v_i b$ ) {
 $i = i + 1$ 
}
return  $v_i a$ 
```

The theoretical justification of this algorithm is as follows:

Lemma 3.1. *The integer sequence (p_i) defined by equation 14 is strictly decreasing*

Proof. For $i = 1$, the integer k_1 defined by 14 is the smallest k verifying: $kp_0 > c$. In fact, we have from 13: $p_1 = k_1 p_0 \bmod c$, so necessarily $p_1 < p_0$, otherwise $(k_1 - 1)$ would be the least integer k satisfying $kp_0 > c$.

For $i \geq 2$, the integer k_i defined by 14 is the least integer k such that $kp_{i-2} > p_{i-1}$ and with $p_i \equiv k_i p_{i-1} \bmod p_{i-2}$, necessarily $p_i < p_{i-1}$, otherwise $(k_i - 1)$ would be the least integer k satisfying $kp_{i-2} > p_{i-1}$. □

4 Example

Let $a = 7523, b = 8231, c = 9533$

Step	k_i	v_i	p_i	$\frac{p_i a - v_i b}{c}$
1	2	9533	7001	-3525
2	2	7001	4469	-1526
3	3	4469	1937	-1053
4	2	1937	1342	-580
5	2	1342	747	-107
6	5	747	152	45

Table 1: The least multiple of b representable by a, c is $747b = 152c + 45a$

We test the number of step required to compute the three least multiple representable.

We use always the scheme $xb = \alpha c - \beta a, ya = \eta c - \nu b, zc = \mu b - \gamma a$

An implemented demonstration of this algorithm using Mathematica software is available in [6].

Compared to Davison algorithm [3] we noted that our algorithm don't need to go through the entire euclidean chain until reaching zero but rather the first p_i smaller than v_i . This seems giving substantial improvement of the running time.

References

- [1] Dale Beihoffer, Jemimah Hendry, Albert Nijenhuis, and Stan Wagon, Faster algorithms for Frobenius numbers, *Electron. J. Combin.* **12** (2005).
- [2] A. Brauer, J. Shockley On a problem of Frobenius, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **211** (1962), 215–220.

- [3] J. Davison. On the linear Diophantine Problem of Frobenius . *J. of Number Theory* **48** (1994) 353363.
- [4] D. Einstein, D. Lichtblau, A. Strzebonski, S. Wagon, Frobenius numbers by lattice point enumeration, *INTEGERS: Electron. J. of Combinatorial Number Theory* **7** (2007)
- [5] S. M. Johnson, A linear diophantine problem, *Canad. J. Math.* **12** (1960), 390–398.
- [6] A. Miled,
<http://demonstrations.wolfram.com/PositiveFrobeniusNumbersOfThreeArguments>

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 05A15; Secondary 52C07.

Keywords:

Frobenius problem, Chinese remainder theorem, $\mathbb{N}$ –representable numbers.